1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT SEATTLE 9 STATE OF WASHINGTON. NO. 10 Plaintiff, **COMPLAINT** 11 Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552 12 OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, an agency of the United States, 13 Defendant. 14 I. **INTRODUCTION** 15 16 1. This is an action under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. § 552, seeking the release of records unlawfully withheld by the Office of Management and Budget 17 (OMB) related to the potential sale of the facility that houses the National Archives at Seattle. 18 This is the second time the Washington State Attorney General's Office (the AGO) has sought 19 FOIA documents on this issue. Two months have passed since the AGO submitted its new 20 21 FOIA request, but OMB has not yet provided any substantive response to the State's request. OMB has not notified the AGO that additional time is needed to process its new FOIA Request, 22 23 nor has it asked the AGO to clarify or modify its new FOIA request. 24 ¹ The AGO initially sought FOIA documents from OMB on this issue on February 3, 2020. After OMB failed to respond to the AGO's FOIA request, the AGO filed suit in this Court challenging the unlawful 25 withholding. See State of Washington v. Office of Management and Budget, No. 2:20-cv-01231-RSL (W.D. Wash. 2020). Following initiation of litigation, OMB began providing the AGO with responsive materials. That case is 26 still pending before the court.

- 2. On January 26, 2020, OMB approved a recommendation of the Public Buildings Reform Board (PBRB) to sell the Federal Archives and Records Center located at 6125 Sand Point Way NE, Seattle, WA 98115 (the Seattle facility). The Seattle facility is currently occupied by the U.S. National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) and houses the National Archives at Seattle.
- 3. The National Archives at Seattle provides public access to permanent records created by Federal agencies and courts in Alaska, Idaho, Oregon, and Washington. It houses a significant body of tribal and treaty records relating to the federally recognized tribes and native corporations in the Pacific Northwest, including records from Bureau of Indian Affairs offices and Indian agencies and schools in Alaska, Idaho, Oregon, and Washington. It also maintains 50,000 files related to the Chinese Exclusion Act of 1882, as well as some records related to the internment of Japanese-Americans in World War II. The National Archives at Seattle is routinely used by researchers, historians, genealogists, and tribes in the Pacific Northwest.
- 4. The PBRB's report recommending the sale of the Seattle facility (the PBRB Report²) proposed moving the federal records and archival materials at the Seattle facility to other NARA facilities in Kansas City, Missouri and Riverside, California. OMB approved the PBRB's decision to sell the Seattle facility and eleven other federal properties on January 26, 2020.
- 5. Neither PBRB nor OMB conducted outreach to state, local, or tribal officials prior to publicly announcing the sale of the facility housing the National Archives at Seattle. As a result, state, local, and tribal officials were not given the opportunity to present information detailing how the pending sale would harm them. There were also no public hearings in Washington, Idaho, Oregon, or Alaska, where members of the public could have provided input

https://www.pbrb.gov/pbrb/files/2021/01/20191227-High-Value-Assets-Report-as-Required-by-FASTA.pdf (last visited April 26, 2021).

and information about the importance of keeping records at the Seattle facility in the Pacific Northwest.

- 6. In October 2020, the PBRB posted meeting minutes on its website which disclosed that the PBRB, in consultation with OMB and the Government Services Administration (GSA), had decided to bundle the National Archives at Seattle with the other eleven High Value Asset properties, and would bring all 12 properties to market by early 2021.³ PBRB officials claimed that impact of the coronavirus pandemic on the commercial real estate market and the "need for certainty of sales proceeds" justified its new sales approach and timeline.⁴
- 7. On January 4, 2021, the States of Washington and Oregon along with a broad coalition of impacted tribes and native corporations as well as historical and community organizations filed suit against OMB, NARA, GSA, and PBRB related to the proposed closure and sale of the Seattle facility in this Court. *Washington v. Young*, Case No. 2:21-cv-00002-JCC (W.D. Wash. 2021).
- 8. On February 16, 2021, the Honorable John C. Coughenour granted the plaintiffs' motion for preliminary injunction and preliminary enjoined the selling of the Seattle facility under the Federal Assets Sale and Transfer Act of 2016 (FASTA). *Id.* at Dkt. #45.
- 9. Following that ruling, on April 8, 2021, OMB withdrew its January 24, 2020 decision approving the PBRB's recommendation to sell the Seattle facility. *Id.* at Dkt. #52-1. In its letter rescinding its approval, OMB stated that "the decision to approve the sale of the Federal Archives and Records Center is contrary to this Administration's tribal-consultation policy." *Id.*
- 10. OMB did not, however, preclude any future sale of the Seattle facility under FASTA. Instead, OMB advised the PBRB of certain steps it would have to take before being able to recommend the sale of the Seattle facility in the future. *See id*.

³ See PBRB website, Materials and Transcript of PBRB Meeting held on Oct. 1, 2020, https://www.pbrb.gov/pbrb/files/2021/01/October-1-2020-Public-Meeting-Agenda-and-Presentation.pdf.
⁴ Id.

- 11. On February 25, 2021, the AGO submitted a request for certain documents to OMB (the FOIA Request), via both electronic mail, and the U.S. Postal Service. *See* Ex. A.
- 12. The AGO's February 25, 2021 FOIA request sought, among other things, "[a]ll records and communications related to the Federal Archives and Records Center . . . located at 6125 Sand Point Way NE, Seattle, WA 98115." The request was limited in time from January 29, 2020 "through the date of this request." Ex. A.
- 13. On February 26, 2021, the AGO received an electronic mail message from OMB FOIA Officer Dionne Hardy, "acknowledg[ing] receipt of your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) dated and received in this office on February 25, 2021." The email further stated, "[y]our request has been logged in and is being processed" and was assigned OMB FOIA number 2021-175.
- 14. The AGO has received no communication from OMB regarding the FOIA Request since the agency's February 26, 2021 email acknowledging receipt.
- 15. The AGO is aware from documents produced in response to prior FOIA requests that NARA, at least under the prior Administration, intended to allow both the National Archives at Seattle and the National Archives at San Francisco (San Bruno) to be sold under FASTA and consolidated with the National Archives at Riverside, leaving only one public NARA facility on the entire West Coast. *See, e.g.*, Ex. B. The AGO is aware from other FOIA documents that OMB was aware of this consolidation effort. *See* Ex. C. In light of the critical regional importance of the records held at the National Archives of Seattle, Washingtonians and all residents of the Pacific Northwest, including the many federally-recognized tribes and native corporations in this region, deserve to fully understand the federal government's views of the Seattle facility and whether such consolidation efforts are still underway.

1 II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE 2 16. This Court has jurisdiction over this action under the Freedom of Information 3 Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B), and under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, because this action arises under the 4 laws of the United States, including FOIA and the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2201. 5 17. Venue is proper in this court under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B), as the FOIA Request 6 was issued from a State of Washington office in Seattle, in the Western District of Washington. 7 18. Because OMB failed to respond to the FOIA Request, or even to notify the AGO 8 of an extension of time, within 20 business days, no administrative appeal is required under 9 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(C). 10 III. **PARTIES** 11 19. Plaintiff State of Washington is a sovereign entity and brings this action to protect 12 its own sovereign and proprietary rights, and as parens patriae on behalf of its affected citizens 13 and residents. The Attorney General is the chief legal adviser to the State of Washington. The 14 Attorney General's powers and duties include acting in federal court on matters of public 15 concern. This challenge is brought pursuant to the Attorney General's independent 16 constitutional, statutory, and common law authority to bring suit and obtain relief on behalf of 17 the State of Washington. 18 20. Defendant Office of Management and Budget is an agency of the United States. 19 OMB has possession of and control over the records sought by the AGO in its February 25, 2021 20 FOIA Request. 21 IV. STATUTORY BACKGROUND 22 21. FOIA requires agencies to "make available to the public information." 5 U.S.C. 23 § 552(a). Any information subject to FOIA must be disclosed. "[E]ach agency, upon any request 24 for records . . . shall make the records promptly available to any person." *Id.* § 552(a)(3). 25 22. "[U]pon any request for records made under [$\S 552(a)$](1), (2), or (3)," an agency 26 has 20 business days to determine whether to comply. Id. § 552(a)(6)(A). OMB's FOIA

regulations also require a response within 20 business days. See 5 C.F.R. § 1303.40(a) ("Upon receipt of any request for information or records, the FOIA Officer will determine within 20 working days (excepting Saturdays, Sundays, and legal public holidays) after the receipt of such request whether it is appropriate to grant the request and will immediately notify the requester of (1) such determination and the reasons therefore and (2) the right of such person to seek assistance from the FOIA Public Liaison. The 20-day period, as used herein, shall commence on

the date on which the FOIA Officer or the FOIA Public Liaison first receives the request.").

- 23. An agency may only withhold documents that fall within one of the enumerated exemptions from FOIA. If an agency withholds documents, it must provide a "Vaughn Index" that adequately identifies the specific documents withheld. See Vaughn v. Rosen, 484 F.2d 820, 827 (D.C. Cir. 1973). "A withholding agency must describe each document or portion thereof withheld, and for each withholding it must discuss the consequences of disclosing the sought-after information." King v. U.S. Dep't of Justice, 830 F.2d 210, 223–24 (D.C. Cir. 1987) (emphasis in original); see also Wiener v. FBI, 943 F.2d 972, 978 (9th Cir. 1991) (requiring a Vaughn Index and finding it inadequate); Andrus v. United States Dep't of Energy, 200 F. Supp. 3d 1093, 1105 (D. Idaho 2016) (same).
- 24. A court may review an agency's decision to withhold documents, and "the burden is on the agency to sustain its action." 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B). An agency cannot justify its withholding by offering nothing more than "[c]onclusory and generalized allegations." *Nat'l Parks & Conservation Ass'n v. Kleppe*, 547 F.2d 673, 680 (D.C. Cir. 1976). When the agency fails to sustain its burden, the court may "order the production of any agency records improperly withheld from the complainant." 5 U.S. C. § 552(a)(4)(B).

V. STATEMENT OF FACTS

25. On February 25, 2021, the AGO submitted a request for certain documents to OMB, via both electronic mail and the U.S. Postal Service. *See* Ex. A.

1	26. The AGO's February 25, 2021 FOIA Request sought records transmitted at any		
2	time from January 29, 2020 through the date of the request "related to the Federal Archives and		
3	Records Center (including the National Archives at Seattle) located at 6125 Sand Point Way NE,		
4	Seattle, WA 98115," including "communications regarding the above identified property with		
5	the Public Buildings Reform Board; the General Services Administration; the National Archives		
6	and Records Administration; individuals at Coldwell Banker Richard Ellis; members of the		
7	press; members of the public; and/or any public officials in the States of Washington, Oregon,		
8	Alaska, and/or Idaho (including those at the federal, state, and/or local level)." Ex. A.		
9	27. On February 26, 2021, the AGO received the following electronic mail message		
10	from OMB's FOIA Officer, Dionne Hardy, stating the following:		
11	Hello and Good Afternoon: This email acknowledges receipt of your Freedom		
12	of Information Act (FOIA) request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) dated and received in this office on February 25, 2021. Your request		
13	has been logged in and is being processed. For your reference, the OMB FOIA number is 2021-175.		
14	28. OMB was required by FOIA and its own regulations to respond to the FOIA		
15	Request by March 26, 2021.		
16	29. The AGO has received no communication from OMB regarding its February 25,		
17	2021 FOIA Request since the February 26, 2021 email acknowledging receipt.		
18	30. OMB has not notified the AGO that additional time is needed to process the		
19	FOIA Request, nor has OMB asked the AGO to clarify or modify the FOIA Request.		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			

	VI. FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF Violation of the Freedom of Information Act – Failure to Respond
	5 U.S.C §§ 552(a)(3), (a)(4)(B)
31.	Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each of the allegations set forth
in the preced	ling paragraphs.
32.	OMB violated FOIA by failing to respond to the FOIA Request within 20
business day	VS.
Viol	VII. SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF ation of the Freedom of Information Act—Failure to Produce Records 5 U.S.C §§ 552(a)(3), (a)(4)(B)
33.	Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each of the allegations set forth
in the preced	ling paragraphs.
34.	OMB further violated FOIA by failing to conduct searches reasonably calculated
to locate the	requested records, and promptly provide records, or reasonably segregable portions
of lawfully e	exempt responsive records, in response to the AGO's request for records.
	VIII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF
The S	State of Washington respectfully requests that this Court:
1.	Declare that OMB violated FOIA by failing to make a determination on the FOIA
Request with	nin the time period prescribed by law;
2.	Declare that OMB violated FOIA by failing to conduct searches reasonably
calculated to	locate the requested records, and promptly provide all responsive records;
3.	Declare that OMB waived its right to request fees for processing the AGO's
request by fa	ailing to respond timely to the request;
4.	Order OMB to provide a determination on the FOIA Request, at no cost to the
State of Was	shington;
	in the preced 32. business day Viol 33. in the preced 34. to locate the of lawfully e The 3 1. Request with 2. calculated to 3. request by fa

1	5. Order OMB to conduct searches reasonably calculated to locate the requested
2	records, and release all records responsive to the FOIA Request within twenty days of this
3	Court's order, at no cost to the State of Washington;
4	6. Enjoin OMB from withholding agency records and order the production of all
5	agency records improperly withheld from the State of Washington;
6	7. Retain jurisdiction over this action to ensure the processing of the FOIA Request
7	is in compliance with FOIA and any orders of this Court;
8	8. Award Plaintiff its costs of litigation, including reasonable attorney fees as
9	provided by FOIA, and any other applicable provision of law; and
10	9. Grant such other relief as the Court may deem just and proper.
11	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 27th day of April 2021.
12	ROBERT W. FERGUSON
13	Attorney General
14	/s/ Lauryn K. Fraas
15	LAURYN K. FRAAS, WSBA #53238 Assistant Attorney General
16	Lauryn.Fraas@atg.wa.gov
17	/s/ Nathan K. Rays
18	/s/ Nathan K. Bays NATHAN K. BAYS, WSBA #43025 Assistant Attorney General
19	Nathan.Bays@atg.wa.gov
20	Office of the Attorney General 800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000
21	Seattle, WA 98104 (206) 464-7744
22	Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Washington
23	
24	
25	
26	